I meet—it does have a qualified solvency advocate. No definition of what it is

Counter interp: the neg doesn’t need a qualified solvency advocate that says we only ought to restrict that speech but not other types of speech if they read a CP that only restricts one type of speech.

1. ground. their interp would make negating basically impossible on this topic

O/W:

A. strength of link

B. Prob

2. education—forced to become my own advocate